

State of the Market Survey 2020

Local Authority Street Cleansing Services



Briefing Note 20-08

March 2020



The state of the market survey was conducted by Wayne Priestley, APSE Principal Advisor for Environmental services.

For any enquires in relation to the survey, Wayne may be contacted on:

Tel: 0161 772 1810

Email: wpriestley@apse.org.uk

Local Authority Street Cleansing Services

State of the Market 2020

APSE conducted an on-line survey during December 2018 and January 2019. This follows on from the 2009, 2011, 2012, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019 Street Cleansing State of the Market surveys where similar questions were asked to allow for trend comparisons. 51 local authority responses were received from councils across the UK providing a good sample size for the survey. This report identifies the key findings of the survey.

Results from the survey


a) Service Budgets

Members were asked if they thought that the street cleansing budget will change over the next year and 51% said yes (compared with 63% in 2019). Of these, 52% expect budgets to **decrease** over the next five years which is a significant drop on 2019 when 68% expected budgets to decrease. In 2018 over 81% of respondents expected a decrease in budgets, which suggests there has been a reduction in the number of local authorities making budget cuts over the past 3 years.

Regarding the level of cuts anticipated over the next five years there has been a rise in those expecting cuts of up to 5%. In 2020, 23% are expecting cuts of up to 5%, as opposed to 18% in 2019. However, it appears that this rise has been the result of the number of those expecting cuts of up to 10%, falling. For the results from the 2020 survey show there has been a decrease in those expecting budget cuts of 10 % or more from 27% in 2019 to 15% in 2020. The number of authorities expecting budget cuts of over 15% has also fallen.

Therefore the figures show whilst 38% of authorities are expecting budget cuts of 5-10% over the next 5 years, in contrast 44% are expecting increases of between 5-10%.

What is your expectation of the level of funding in your service budget in the coming five years?



The survey asked 'What efficiencies are you currently working towards or proposing' and the main responses to this question were as follows:

- Better use of technology to maximise efficiency
- Depot rationalisation
- Reductions in overtime/ changes to working patterns
- Increased levels of mechanised sweeping
- Reducing lone workers in favour of team working thereby reducing individual equipment needs
- Use of smart litter bins reducing emptying frequencies
- Route optimisation
- Double shifting of vehicles and reducing residential sweeping frequencies
- Increased use of volunteers to adopt local area cleaning
- Replacing cleaning visits to certain areas by carrying out 'deep cleans', twice per year instead.
- Reducing sweeping frequencies and litter bin emptying.
- Better joint working between street cleansing and refuse collection teams
- Joint authority working
- ICT investment
- 7 day working has reduced overtime costs


- Increasing staff training to allow multi-tasking and giving greater empowerment to make on—site decisions.

From these responses there were few new areas being considered which may suggest the options for service efficiencies are now becoming more difficult to identify.

The survey asked 'Do you have any income generation schemes' and 54% answered 'yes', which is a significant increase on 2019 when 43% stated they had income generation schemes. For those that answered yes, they indicated using the following ways of implementing their income generation strategy:

- Bulky waste disposal
- Cleaning of private car parks, housing and industrial estates.
- Delivering services for town/parish councils (e.g. dog/litter bins, cleansing)
- Weed spraying/weed killing for highways authority
- Gully emptying contracts
- Mechanical sweeping of cemeteries, parks and industrial estates, etc. for public/private clients
- Jet washing services to clear graffiti/clean surfaces for private sector clients
- Clean-up charging after events (rather than footing the bill themselves)
- Housing garden care, fencing and clean ups
- Advertising on litter bins
- Clearance of fly-tipping on private land.


On employment related matters, the survey asked 'has or does your service intend to implement any of the following within the next 12 months and the responses were as follows:



Significant changes have occurred across all the areas measured. The proposed use of voluntary redundancy has fallen from 17% in 2019 to only 12% in 2020. Compulsory redundancy was not stated as an option by any respondents. Recruitment freezes have remained steady at 12%. The very last column shows 82% of respondents are not intending to use any of these options as compared to 74% in 2019. This may suggest that many services are now at the optimum staffing levels which they require to operate the service effectively.

Of those services who expect to be subject to redundancy measures, they expect to lose the following percentage of staff from the service:

If your service area is subject to redundancy measures what percentage of staff do you expect to lose from the service?




b) Standards of cleanliness

When asked how cleanliness levels had changed over the current year, 63% said they had stayed the same as compared to 55% in 2019. 26% of respondents reported that their cleanliness levels had improved compared to 20% in 2019. Only 10% said cleanliness levels had fallen compared to 25% in 2019.

The most noticeable change in this measure has been the findings that from respondents replies, there does appear to have been an improvement on the quality of cleanliness on the previous year. Bearing in mind the earlier comments that many local authorities are expecting lower levels of budget cuts and almost 45% are expecting increases in budget provision, then this bodes well for the future quality of our local environments. To add further to this point 77% expect cleanliness levels to stay the same or improve over the coming year.

The survey asked how street cleanliness quality was measured (respondents were allowed to choose more than one response) and the results were as follows:



When asked who carried out the surveys, the majority of respondents indicated that this was done by council officers mainly from within their own or other council services. A small proportion stated volunteers were involved in measuring cleanliness levels.


The same questions were asked about how councils measured street cleanliness quality during 2017. 51% stated that they would be using a locally developed inspection survey as opposed to 47% in 2019. Others included LEQS PRO or LEAMS, residents' perception surveys. The number of local authorities using [APSE's Land Audit Management System](#) has increased again. In 2020 11% of local authorities responding to the survey stated that they will be using the LAMS system. The LAMS monitoring system, allows the simple measurement of grounds maintenance quality standards for parks, open space and horticultural services.

This system provides a less complex and more affordable way to measure street cleansing quality. The LAMS system has proven to be extremely popular and has now been adapted to include cemeteries and crematoria as well as allowing for the use of volunteer groups to assist in data collection. The LAMS system has also been referenced by DEFRA in its' national litter strategy and a digital App to allow LAMS to be used more easily is also available in partnership with Bbits/Love Clean Streets.

C) Street scene

77% of respondents indicated that they are part of an integrated street scene service as compared to 70% in 2019, however the level of integration varies widely, ranging from full service integration to simply supervisors monitoring the workforce across a number of different services.


Of those who replied stating that services are jointly managed the level of integration according to service type shown in the graph below.



The figures in the above graph almost mirror those returned in the 2019 survey. However several changes have occurred most notably the fall in the number of grounds maintenance services being included (76% in 2019) and formal parks and country parks.

There is a significant rise in the number of waste and recycling services being integrated as is shown by the 2019 figure of 39% now rising to 55%.

Respondents also indicated that the following services are **jointly delivered** by staff within their street scene department as distinct from service level integration in the above chart. The outcomes on joint delivery are as follows:-



Areas where there has been decreases where services are jointly delivered by staff are with regards to grounds maintenance, burials and cremations, highway maintenance and repairs. Perhaps this could be seen as a reflection of the specialist nature of these service areas.

Of those who stated that they were not already part of an integrated street scene service, 18% expected to become part of an integrated street scene service in the near future, which is a significant drop on 2019 when 40% expected to become part of an integrated street scene service in the next few years..

d) Street cleansing operations

84%% stated that they have area-based teams (78% in 2019) and 81% of respondents stated their services operate over 7 days (92% in 2019), with only 2% operating over 5 days (6% in 2019). 23% currently undertake night-time street cleansing as opposed to 20% in 2019.


The survey asked how the street cleansing service is currently managed and 81% stated that this is in-house (80% in 2019), and 19% external (20% in 2019). For those where the service is currently managed externally, 11% of respondents stated that the contract length is mainly up to 5 years (40% in 2019), 5-7 years was 0%, (10% in 2019), 7-10 years was 56%, (30% in 2019) and over 10 years was 33% (20% in 2019) . 78% of respondents indicated that there is an option to extend the contract (70% in 2019). It is noticeable although there is a slight decrease in those expecting to be managed externally, of those that are there has been a move towards increasing the contract length. This result is perhaps surprising considering the recent number of private sector providers who have ceased to operate such as Carillion, although by spreading the contract length then the

financial returns to the contractor investing in providing the service, can be spread over a longer period as can their capital and revenue costs incurred.

e) 'Binrastructure'

APSE has been working with the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) and the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) on a National Litter Strategy for England. As part of this work we have been tasked with discovering how local authorities address the issue of litter bin provision, recording locations, typology of bins and initiatives to promote greater use.

Therefore for this year we have included several questions which will help this process. It may be that members would wish to see these questions included in future State of the Market reports.




It is clear that (53%) of respondents do have some form of litter bin strategy/policy (40% in 2019). Despite a growing number of strategies being developed, there is still the concern that not all litter bin providers in their area subscribe to it, leading to confusion regarding litter bin styles, emptying techniques, locations and responsibility for emptying. It is hoped that the work undertaken by APSE towards guidance on litter bins will prove useful to those authorities which have still to set out a policy.

It is expected that the official DEFRA guidance on 'binrastructure' will be available by summer 2020

The question was posed as to whether a single department is responsible for providing litter bins. 81% responded that a single department was responsible for litter bin provision (91% in 2019).

The number of litter bins provided by a local authority is often a question which is asked by members to get a view as to whether they provide sufficient coverage.




The graph shows at 46% the provision of 0-10 litter bins per 1000 head of population seems to be the most common level of provision.

Regarding the accurate mapping of litter bin assets, only 74% of respondents had an accurate map of where their litter bin stock was located (76% in 2019). This means that they may have problems with ensuring regular emptying, repair and maintenance and also whether litter bins are even needed in their current location. Most of those local authorities which did have accurate litter bin locations used GIS systems to record their locations.

The final question in this section asked what proportion of litter bins were replaced annually. The most frequent response at 81%, stated that up to 5% of bins were replaced annually (77% in 2018)

f) Volunteering and partnership working.

When asked how volunteers are involved in the service, the majority of respondents said this was through clean ups and community litter picks, community payback schemes and Friends of Groups. The full breakdown is shown in the following graph below.




When questioned about working with partners to provide street scene services, 35% stated that they provide street scene services as part of a Business Improvement District (BID) as compared to 28% in 2019. We found that 21% of respondents have individual street cleansing agreements with supermarkets, retailers and other businesses (14% in 2019). 14% of respondents indicated that they have a partnership with large supermarkets/retailers/businesses on community clear-ups/public realm improvements such as Community Champions schemes with organisations like ASDA, Tesco and McDonalds, This latest figure shows a decrease on 2019, when 22% of respondents said they had agreements.

In 2020, 88% of respondents stated that community sector involvement in street cleansing was increasing in their service (73% in 2019).

The main areas where respondents identified external support were in relation to clean ups and community litter picks (95%), Friends of Groups (49%), local environmental improvement projects (38%), community payback schemes (23%), education initiatives (23%) and parish council organised events (26%). All of these areas have shown a slight increase in support, except Parish council organised events and community pay-back schemes where levels of support have fallen.

In terms of education campaigns, 80% are planning these in the next 2 years, which is the same level as 2019. The main campaigns being planned are litter campaigns (82%), dog

fouling campaigns (74%) and educational awareness in schools (50%). The full breakdown is as follows:




Other educational initiatives included: fly-tipping campaigns, litter thrown from cars and business engagement.

g) Enforcement

Near to 72% of respondents thought that there will be an increase in enforcement/notices issued in the next 2-3 years, which is a slight decrease from the 2019 figure of 80% who felt enforcement notice issuing would increase.

The survey asked which of the following powers were being used currently (where applicable), the results are shown overleaf. These are the powers defined by the Clean Neighbourhoods and Environment Acts for England, Wales and Northern Ireland and the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 (respondents were asked to tick 'not applicable' where the powers do not apply) and Councils in Scotland only answered these where they were relevant.

Which of the following powers are you currently using (where applicable)?




There has been some significant changes to the powers being used. 95% of respondents now serve on the spot fines for littering as opposed to 79% in 2019, linked to this is a rise in the number of respondents using litter clearance notices. There has been an 11% increase on 2019 in relation to the control of free literature notices and noises have also occurred in using powers to control noise from alarms and cost recovery for shopping trolleys. Noticeably where a fall has occurred is with regards to the number of authorities serving notice on people leaving waste out at the wrong time which may suggest this particular form of enforcement has proved effective and fewer instances of this type are occurring.

A further question was asked about why certain powers have not been used (where respondents answered 'no'. Reasons cited included, 'insufficient resources' (47% as opposed to 37% in 2019), 'it is covered by other agencies/departments' (50% as opposed to 42% in 2019) 'they're not problem areas/we have other priorities' (38% as opposed to 45% 2019) and 17% cited 'political reasons', the same as in 2019.


h) Staff absence

In terms of staff absence 32% (26% in 2019), thought that staff absence was too high, but 42% of respondents viewed staff absence as being around average levels.



Staff absence levels from performance networks data appears to show that staff absence is well managed, however the survey data indicates that over a quarter of local managers

believe absence is still too high. Perceptions of absence may therefore be outweighing the underlying data on absence.




10% of respondents thought that the training budget is going to increase over the next 12 months (9% in 2019), with 10% stating a decrease (14% in 2019) and 80% stating that this will stay the same. Training will need to be a key objective of any service, particularly those where staff will need to be multi-skilled as more services consider integration.

i) Future areas of work and service reviews


Respondents were asked where they expect to see growth over the next 12 months and where there may be future decreases in work for the service and the responses for both questions were as follows:

Where do you see growth for the service over the next 12 months?



Results show that the areas of growth which have increased the most over 2019 figures, tend to be around community engagement and the use of volunteers. There is a focus on income generation with increasing emphasis being placed on private sector work. Interestingly the largest rise is with regards to the provision of sustainable mechanical sweepers.


Where do you see future decreases in work for the service?



Interestingly the previous expectation that there would be a reduction in street cleansing frequencies and an anticipated declines in street cleanliness seem to have been somewhat diluted with less respondents anticipating declines in these work areas. The only real changes have been the expectation that there will be fewer street barrows, less night time economy work and less litter picking (probably manual)..

The survey asked 'if you are anticipating future reductions in sweeping and litter picking work, which areas of land do you think this will relate to' and the results were as follows:

If you are anticipating future reductions in sweeping and litter picking work, which areas of land do you think this will relate to?




It is not surprising that rural roads will be the main loser in relation to reducing frequencies but this is nevertheless a worrying development as litter on rural roads is a common cause of complaints. In terms of the other areas highlighted, from the returns received, although there will still be service reductions, it appears there is less of an anticipated impact than the returns recorded in previous surveys.

j) Service Reviews

When asked if respondents had undertaken a service review recently, 32% stated that they had completed this (35% in 2019), 34% stated that they have a review which is underway (35% in 2019) and 21% stated that they will be doing so in the next 1-2 years (23% in 2019). Only 13% said 'no' and they won't be undertaking a review in the next 1-2 years.

The clear emphasis on undertaking service reviews reflects the efficiency drive in local government and the need to review services to try and meet these challenges. Respondents stated that the reviews will involve the following:



APSE Comment

It is quite clear that despite ongoing service budget cuts, managers and front-line staff are continuing to provide good street cleansing services. However, there is a real risk that service quality will diminish as a result of the ongoing pressures on budgets, changes to cleansing frequencies and a need for investment.

However, from the results received this year, there does appear to be some hope, with the levels of cuts anticipated being smaller and a high proportion of responding local authorities stating they expect budget increases.

Local councils are working hard to meet these challenges through making efficiencies and looking for income generation opportunities to plug funding gaps, but there is a growing need to recognise the value of these public realm services to local economies.

As future changes to local government finances indicate, councils will be increasingly reliant upon business rates retention and local housing taxation; the value of a quality street scene environment should not be underestimated in its ability to attract commercial investment and new housing developments. Therefore, ongoing cuts to these services

could have much wider implications for local councils if this leads to areas being unattractive to businesses, as well as local residents

It is critical that service resources are targeted more effectively in those areas where cleansing demands are highest. From the results received there does appear to be a greater level of optimism that closer monitoring of cleanliness levels with more targeted resource input will help stave off some of the worst excesses of funding cuts.

In addition, as stated previously, the level of cuts anticipated does seem to be lesser than initially expected.

Despite these rays of hope this does not mean there is room for complacency and service managers will need to continually monitor and review their services if they are to continually improve. Certainly from a public perspective services which improve the quality of local neighbourhoods are extremely important to the general public which was made abundantly clear within the findings of APSE's 2018 Neighbourhood Services Survey carried out by Survation, showed that street cleanliness was regarded as one of the most highly regarded services by UK residents.

Route optimisation and service re-design are now being used across most services to try to dilute the impact of shrinking resources, but ultimately, the on-going demand on the limited resources of local authorities needs to be addressed at governmental level. Whilst behaviour change campaigns encouraging the public to be more proactive in preventing and clearing litter have a place, and ongoing work with businesses to minimise litter from their products is of course welcome, there will be no doubt a tipping point at which cleanliness standards significantly fall below those expected by the public and local councillors. It is also apparent that whilst the use of volunteers is significant, it has to be realised that these resources are often only available for localised projects and will not be enough to be a credible alternative to area-wide front-line local authority staff. Volunteers will only ever be a 'top-up' and not a 'replacement for' local authority services. These issues were explored in APSE's research '[Park Life: Street Life: Managing demand in the public realm](#)' which can be downloaded using the link free of charge from the [APSE website](#).

Despite some negative aspects contained within this report, these are far outweighed by the positive and innovative work local authorities are continuing to deliver in their desire to create cleaner, greener and safer local environments.

Finally it is hoped that some of the first glimmers of service re-investment taking place will continue to grow and the future performance of service delivery improve to mirror this investment.

The Association for Public Service Excellence

APSE member authorities have access to a range of membership resources to assist in delivering council services. This includes our regular advisory groups, specifically designed to bring together elected members, directors, managers and heads of service, together with trade union representatives to discuss service specific issues, innovation and new ways of delivering continuous improvement. The advisory groups are an excellent forum for sharing ideas and discussing topical service issues with colleagues from other councils throughout the UK.

Advisory groups are a free service included as part of your authority's membership of APSE and all end with an informal lunch to facilitate networking with peers in other councils. If you do not currently receive details about APSE advisory group meetings and would like to be added to our list of contacts for your service area please email enquiries@apse.org.uk.

Our national advisory groups include:-

- Building cleaning
- Catering
- Commercialisation network: Local authority trading, charging and income generation
- Housing, construction and building maintenance
- Parks, horticultural and ground maintenance
- Renewables and climate change
- Roads, highways and street lighting
- Sports and leisure management
- Vehicle maintenance and transport
- Waste management, refuse collection and street cleansing
- Cemeteries and crematoria
- Environmental Health and Trading Standards

Visit www.apse.org.uk for more details.